Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,965	AHARONI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAMBHAVI PATEL	2128
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) SHAMBHAVI PATEL	(3)	
(2) Joseph D'Angelo.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 09 May 2009.		
Type: a   ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 22.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Friedrich, Wilkes,		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview Including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Adolescarls generalisties unessented as et of prosposed generalistic and excitation with the prior and side not teach at Calemad limitations. Examiner agreed to review the prior and in view of the amendments and parform any was eastful florescarsor.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, OS MPEP Section 713.04, If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MAIL MOD GATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		